

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,542	05/26/2000	Cheng Chung Lin	TSMC2000-079	7369
28112	7590 04/21/2003			
	. SAILE & ASSOCIAT	ES	EXAMI	INER
28 DAVIS A POUGHKEE	PSIE, NY 12603		VINH, LAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
•			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/579,542	LIN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lan Vinh	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRIES FOR FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRIES FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRIES FOR FOR FOR FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRIES FOR FAILS TO PLACE THE PLACE THIS APPRIES FOR FAILS TO PLACE THE PLACE THIS APPRIES FOR FAILS FOR FAIL	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE. lication. A proper re	eply to a ication in
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set months after the mailing date of the final research.	THE FINAL REJECTION. 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate of the final Office action; or ejection, even if timely file.	See MPEP ate extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid distinstit	e period set forth in all of the appeal.	
2 M The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);
(I) Not they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below):		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application	n in better form for appeal by m		
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number	of finally rejected ci	aims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5.⊠ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:	See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered of would be rejected is provided	or b) will be enter below or appended.	ed and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Olaim (a) withdrawn from consideration:		المحاملية المحادية	vominer
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)∭ approved or b)∭ di	sapproved by the E	xammer
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	(s)	
10. Other:			
10			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations of "applied to only said plasma" and "to only said plasma", as recirted in amended claims 1, 9,14, raise new issue that would require further consideration. The added limitation of "with no intervening steps", as recited in amended claim 1, raise the issue of new matter because there is no positive support for this limitation in the specification of the instant application.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Apllicant's argument regarding the rejection of claims 8, 13, 18 are not persuasive. The applicants argue that the fact that an invention teaches how to make something that can be imagined but for which there previouly exist no known process for its manufacture, does not make the process obvious. The examiner disagrees because the instant inventions, as per claims 8, 13, 18, recite a method to make a low k dielectric constant material has a flat band voltage that is less than about -3 volts and since the cited reference of Huang teaches a method to make a low k dielectric material and it is known in the art that the dielectric material has a flat band voltage of -1.82 V, the examiner asserts that it is obvious to employ Huang process (a known process to manufacture a low k dielectric material) to manufacture a low k dielectric material has a flat band voltage that is less than about -3 Volts because the claimed flat band voltage value is a known property of the dielectric material.

millo

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700